

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,995	09/13/2000	Steven A. Weiss	30150-ра	7972
7	7590 11/14/2002			
BERNHARD KRETEN, ESQ. & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
300 CAPITOL SUITE 1100		•	NGUYEN	I, KIM T
SACRAMENT	TO, CA 95814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	N/
		09/660,995		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	WEISS, STEVEN A.	
	•	Kim Nguyen	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		3713 correspondence address	
Period fo	or Reply			
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication	on.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	August 2002 .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	vance except for formal matters, r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits, 453 O.G. 213.	is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-9 is/are pending in the a	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)🖂	Claim(s) 2.6 and 7 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4,8 and 9 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2000</u> is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	ition No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional applicati	ion).
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the	ovisional application has been re	eceived.	·
Attachment	(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No.	11

Application/Control Number: 09660995 Page 2

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant requests for RCE with an amendment of August 13, 2002 (paper Nos. 9 and 10) have been received and processed. By this amendment, claims 8 and 9 have been added and claims 1-2, 4, and 6-9 are now pending in the application.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 4 and 8, line 4, the claimed limitation "providing a <u>first bonus game</u> outcome which leads to a first bonus game" should be corrected to "providing a <u>primary game first</u> outcome which leads to a first bonus game", because it is the primary game outcome that activates the first bonus game. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al (US. Patent No. 6,364,766) in view of Jaffe (US. Patent No. 6,254,481).

Application/Control Number: 09660995

Art Unit: 3713

۵ر

As per claim 1, Anderson teaches a gaming device which comprises: wager accepting means (col. 3, lines 7-10); a display (col. 3, lines 45-46); processor means (col. 3, lines 50-53); a primary game having a first and second particular outcome (col. 4, liens 58-60); and first and second bonus games being accessed via the first and second outcome, respectively (col. 5, lines 1-3 and 5-7; and col. 6, lines 16-18). Anderson does not teach the first bonus game includes an outcome to play the second bonus game. However, Jaffe teaches a first bonus game that includes an outcome to play a second bonus game (col. 8, lines 38-43). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an outcome that activates a second bonus game taught by Jaffe to the first bonus game of Anderson to activate the second bonus game in order to award extra bonus to the player.

- 3. Claims 4, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al (US. Patent No. 6,364,766) in view of Jaffe (US. Patent No. 6,254,481) and Lemay et al (US. Publication No. 2002/0010018).
- a. As per claim 4 and 8, refer to discussion in claim 1 above. Anderson in combination with Jaffe do not teach that the first bonus game and the second bonus game are pathway games. However, Lemay teaches designing a pathway game (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the pathway game of Lemay to the first and second bonus games of Anderson in order to allow the player to

Application/Control Number: 09660995

Art Unit: 3713

follow a path of progress of the game. Further, as to claim 8, Lemay teaches a pathway having

Page 4

positions that award credits when being landed on (328d, 328j, etc. in Fig. 3).

b. As per claim 9, refer to discussion in claims 4 and 8 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2 and 6-7 are allowed.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior arts of record does not disclose a gaming device which includes a first and second

bonus games that are accessible by primary game outcomes, respectively; a certain outcome of

the first bonus game also activates the second bonus game; the first bonus game is defined by a

path to be traversed and a terminus of the path leads to the second bonus game; the first and

second bonus games are implemented in the gaming device set forth in independent claim 2.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Cited References

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09660995

Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

i. Morro et al (US. 5,947,820) discloses a first bonus game outcome that triggers a second bonus game (Fig. 6).

ii. Baerlocher et al (US Pub. 2002/0016200) discloses a pathway game (abstract).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30AM to 5:30PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace, can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Kim Nguyen
Patent Examiner

November 12, 2002